

## The Global Impact of President Trump's 2025 Tariff Policy

Prepared for the IMCI+ Alliance

Author: Modesto N. Peña y Gorrin, Founder, CEO and Chairman, IMCI+ Group Intl. GmbH

Date: 3<sup>rd</sup>. April 2025

### Executive Summary

President Donald Trump's implementation of wide-ranging tariffs in April 2025 has redefined U.S. trade policy and sent significant shockwaves through global markets. This position paper provides a comprehensive analysis of the motivations behind these tariffs, their mechanisms, expected socio-economic effects, and global responses. It also presents critical reflections and strategic recommendations, particularly from the standpoint of key global players: the EU, China, Japan, Korea, Australia, and India.

### 1. Introduction

President Trump's tariff policy represents a return to aggressive protectionism, aimed at revitalizing American manufacturing and correcting longstanding trade imbalances. However, critics argue that such measures may undermine global trade cooperation and worsen economic conditions.

### 2. Historical Context of Tariffs

Tariffs have been used by the U.S. since the 18th century, often to nurture fledgling industries. The 1930 Smoot-Hawley Tariff Act notoriously led to global retaliation and is widely blamed for deepening the Great Depression. In the 1980s, President Reagan's selective tariffs strained U.S.-Japan relations and increased consumer costs. President Trump's first term also saw similar tariff implementations, particularly on steel and aluminum, with limited long-term benefit.

### 3. Breakdown of 2025 Tariffs

- **Baseline Tariff:** 10% on all imports to the U.S.
- **China:** Additional 34%, resulting in 54% effective duty.
- **European Union:** Reciprocal 20% tariff.
- **Mexico & Canada:** 25% targeted tariff.
- **Other Nations:** Varying tariffs based on bilateral deficits and strategic interests.

The administration cites national security and industrial sovereignty as the primary justifications.

### 4. Economic and Social Impacts in the U.S.

#### 4.1 Inflation and Consumer Costs

- Average household costs projected to rise by \$3,800 annually.
- Inflation estimated to exceed 4% by Q4 2025.

#### 4.2 Manufacturing and Employment

- Temporary gains in steel and basic manufacturing offset by losses in automotive, construction, and tech sectors.
- Risk of 800,000 job losses in downstream industries by early 2026.

#### 4.3 Business Investment and Market Reaction

- Market volatility has surged. Dow Jones and S&P 500 declined by over 10% since April.
- Investments paused in sectors reliant on global supply chains.

## 5. Global Reactions and Strategic Countermeasures

### 5.1 China

- Vows retaliation through tariffs on U.S. agriculture and energy.
- Enhancing regional ties through RCEP and Belt & Road partners.

### 5.2 European Union

- Condemns U.S. tariffs; planning reciprocal tariffs.
- Exploring WTO dispute settlement options.
- Increasing strategic autonomy through European industrial policy.

### 5.3 Japan

- Concerned about disruption to automotive exports.
- Pushing for exemptions and deeper Indo-Pacific trade coalitions.

### 5.4 South Korea

- Negotiating exclusions; may retaliate if affected.
- Wary of impact on semiconductors and consumer electronics.

### 5.5 Australia

- Diplomatic yet critical; may seek closer trade alignment with Asia and EU.
- Concerned about ripple effects on commodity exports.

### 5.6 India

- Sees both risk and opportunity.
- May benefit from supply chain realignments.
- Seeks bilateral trade assurances with the U.S.

## 6. Short-Term Risks and Threats (Next 12 Months)

- **Recession Risk:** Global GDP growth forecast revised downward by 1.2%.
- **Commodity Volatility:** Energy and raw materials prices destabilized.
- **Geopolitical Strain:** Deterioration of G7 cohesion and multilateral trust.
- **Corporate Realignment:** Multinationals accelerating divestment from U.S. sourcing.

## 7. Strategic Opportunities Amid Crisis

- **For the EU:** Bolster internal market and diversify exports.
- **For China:** Strengthen alternative global partnerships.
- **For India:** Increase manufacturing exports as Western firms diversify.
- **For Korea and Japan:** Lead tech-driven regional trade cooperation.
- **For Australia:** Negotiate preferential access with non-U.S. partners.

## 8. Effectiveness and Realism of Tariff Strategy

- **Limited Impact on Trade Deficit:** Trade deficits are structurally driven by macroeconomic factors, not tariffs.
- **WTO Integrity at Risk:** U.S. actions undermine multilateralism.
- **SMEs Squeezed:** SMEs lack the leverage to pass on costs or diversify markets.

## 9. Recommendations for the International Community

- **Form Unified Coalitions:** EU, ASEAN, MERCOSUR, and African Union should coordinate responses.
- **Legal Action via WTO:** Swift engagement via dispute mechanisms.
- **Support for SMEs:** Governments must shield exporters from retaliatory damage.
- **Expand Regional Trade:** Accelerate ratification of regional trade agreements.

## 10. Overall Conclusion

President Trump's 2025 tariffs reflect a deeply nationalist vision of economic policy. While aiming to reinvigorate U.S. industry, the costs appear disproportionately high—fueling inflation, undermining global confidence, and destabilizing historic alliances.

For the international community, especially regions like the EU, Asia-Pacific, and BRICS, the key response must be strategic unity and diversified economic cooperation. While threats loom, opportunities also exist to redefine the global trade architecture through innovation, regionalism, and sustainable development.

The IMCI+ Alliance recognizes that resilience, multilateral dialogue, and balanced economic diplomacy are paramount. We strongly advocate for global leaders to counter protectionism with inclusive, forward-looking solutions.


### Prepared by:

**Modesto N. Peña y Gorrin**

Founder, Chairman & CEO


IMCI+ Group Intl. GmbH

Zurich, April 2025




## Reciprocal Tariffs

Country	Tariffs Charged to the U.S.A. Including Currency Manipulation and Trade Barriers	U.S.A. Discounted Reciprocal Tariffs
Papua New Guinea	15%	10%
Malawi	34%	17%
Liberia	10%	10%
British Virgin Islands	10%	10%
Afghanistan	49%	10%
Zimbabwe	35%	18%
Benin	10%	10%
Barbados	10%	10%
Monaco	10%	10%
Syria	81%	41%
Uzbekistan	10%	10%
Republic of the Congo	10%	10%
Djibouti	10%	10%
French Polynesia	10%	10%
Cayman Islands	10%	10%
Kosovo	10%	10%
Curaçao	10%	10%
Vanuatu	44%	22%
Rwanda	10%	10%
Sierra Leone	10%	10%
Mongolia	10%	10%
San Marino	10%	10%
Antigua and Barbuda	10%	10%
Bermuda	10%	10%
Eswatini (Swaziland)	10%	10%



## Reciprocal Tariffs

Country	Tariffs Charged to the U.S.A. Including Currency Manipulation and Trade Barriers	U.S.A. Discounted Reciprocal Tariffs
Marshall Islands	10%	10%
Saint Pierre and Miquelon	99%	50%
Saint Kitts and Nevis	10%	10%
Turkmenistan	10%	10%
Grenada	10%	10%
Sudan	10%	10%
Turks and Caicos Islands	10%	10%
Aruba	10%	10%
Montenegro	10%	10%
Saint Helena	15%	10%
Kyrgyzstan	10%	10%
Yemen	10%	10%
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	10%	10%
Niger	10%	10%
Saint Lucia	10%	10%
Nauru	59%	30%
Equatorial Guinea	25%	13%
Iran	10%	10%
Libya	61%	31%
Samoa	10%	10%
Guinea	10%	10%
Timor-Leste	10%	10%
Montserrat	10%	10%
Chad	26%	13%
Mali	10%	10%




## Reciprocal Tariffs

Country	Tariffs Charged to the U.S.A. Including Currency Manipulation and Trade Barriers	U.S.A. Discounted Reciprocal Tariffs
Peru	10%	10%
Nicaragua	36%	18%
Norway	30%	15%
Costa Rica	17%	10%
Jordan	40%	20%
Dominican Republic	10%	10%
United Arab Emirates	10%	10%
New Zealand	20%	10%
Argentina	10%	10%
Ecuador	12%	10%
Guatemala	10%	10%
Honduras	10%	10%
Madagascar	93%	47%
Myanmar (Burma)	88%	44%
Tunisia	55%	28%
Kazakhstan	54%	27%
Serbia	74%	37%
Egypt	10%	10%
Saudi Arabia	10%	10%
El Salvador	10%	10%
Côte d'Ivoire	41%	21%
Laos	95%	48%
Botswana	74%	37%
Trinidad and Tobago	12%	10%
Morocco	10%	10%

IMCI + CAPITAL™

IMCI + ADVISORY™

IMCI + ALLIANCE™




## Reciprocal Tariffs

Country	Tariffs Charged to the U.S.A. Including Currency Manipulation and Trade Barriers	U.S.A. Discounted Reciprocal Tariffs
Algeria	59%	30%
Oman	10%	10%
Uruguay	10%	10%
Bahamas	10%	10%
Lesotho	99%	50%
Ukraine	10%	10%
Bahrain	10%	10%
Qatar	10%	10%
Mauritius	80%	40%
Fiji	63%	32%
Iceland	10%	10%
Kenya	10%	10%
Liechtenstein	73%	37%
Guyana	76%	38%
Haiti	10%	10%
Bosnia and Herzegovina	70%	35%
Nigeria	27%	14%
Namibia	42%	21%
Brunei	47%	24%
Bolivia	20%	10%
Panama	10%	10%
Venezuela	29%	15%
North Macedonia	65%	33%
Ethiopia	10%	10%
Ghana	17%	10%

IMCI + CAPITAL™

IMCI + ADVISORY™


IMCI + ALLIANCE™



## Reciprocal Tariffs

Country	Tariffs Charged to the U.S.A. including Currency Manipulation and Trade Barriers	U.S.A. Discounted Reciprocal Tariffs
Moldova	61%	31%
Angola	63%	32%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	22%	11%
Jamaica	10%	10%
Mozambique	31%	16%
Paraguay	10%	10%
Zambia	33%	17%
Lebanon	10%	10%
Tanzania	10%	10%
Iraq	78%	39%
Georgia	10%	10%
Senegal	10%	10%
Azerbaijan	10%	10%
Cameroon	22%	11%
Uganda	20%	10%
Albania	10%	10%
Armenia	10%	10%
Nepal	10%	10%
Sint Maarten	10%	10%
Falkland Islands	82%	41%
Gabon	10%	10%
Kuwait	10%	10%
Togo	10%	10%
Suriname	10%	10%
Belize	10%	10%





## Reciprocal Tariffs

Country	Tariffs Charged to the U.S.A. Including Currency Manipulation and Trade Barriers	U.S.A. Discounted Reciprocal Tariffs
China	67%	34%
European Union	39%	20%
Vietnam	90%	46%
Taiwan	64%	32%
Japan	46%	24%
India	52%	26%
South Korea	50%	25%
Thailand	72%	36%
Switzerland	61%	31%
Indonesia	64%	32%
Malaysia	47%	24%
Cambodia	97%	49%
United Kingdom	10%	10%
South Africa	60%	30%
Brazil	10%	10%
Bangladesh	74%	37%
Singapore	10%	10%
Israel	33%	17%
Philippines	34%	17%
Chile	10%	10%
Australia	10%	10%
Pakistan	58%	29%
Turkey	10%	10%
Sri Lanka	88%	44%
Colombia	10%	10%